## **Dynamic Systems**

### Lecture 5. Realizability and Realizations



### **Torkel Glad**

Reglerteknik, ISY, Linköpings Universitet

Torkel Glad Dynamic Systems 2014, Lecture 5



### Realization

- The *realization* problem is to find a state space description for a given input-output relation.
- A *minimal realization* has the lowest possible dimension of the state space.

#### AUTOMATIC CONTROL REGLERTEKNIK LINKÖPINGS UNIVERSITET

## Input-output relations

State space description:

$$\dot{x}(t) = A(t)x(t) + B(t)u(t), \quad y(t) = C(t)x(t)$$
  
 $x(t_0) = 0:, \quad y(t) = \int_{t_0}^t C(t)\Phi(t,\tau)B(\tau)u(\tau) d\tau$ 

Impulse response:

$$h(t,\tau) = C(t)\Phi(t,\tau)B(\tau)$$

A, B, C constant:

$$h(t,\tau) = Ce^{A(t-\tau)}B = h(t-\tau,0), \quad G(s) = C(sI-A)^{-1}B$$

**Fact:**  $h(t, \tau)$  unaffected by variable change x(t) = P(t)z(t)

Torkel Glad
Dynamic Systems 2014, Lecture 5



### Finite dimensional realizations

Is there a finite-dimensional linear system that has the impulse response

$$h(t,\tau) = \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{4(t-\tau)}}}{2\sqrt{\pi}(t-\tau)^{1.5}}$$
 ?

(heat conduction in a rod)

**Theorem** An impulse response has a finite dimensional realization if and only if it can be factorized as

$$h(t,\tau) = M(t)N(\tau)$$

The simplest realization has the form

$$\dot{x}(t) = N(t)u(t), \quad y(t) = M(t)x(t)$$

## Minimality, controllability and observability

With the variable change  $x = \Phi(t, t_0)z$  the system

$$\dot{x} = A(t)x + B(t)u, \quad y = C(t)x$$

is transformed into

$$\dot{z} = \Phi(t_0, t)B(t)u, \quad y = C(t)\Phi(t, t_0)z$$

with the same impulse response, and the same ranks of the Gramians.

**Theorem** A realization is minimal if and only if, for some  $t_0 < t_1$ , both  $W(t_0,t_1)$  and  $M(t_0,t_1)$  (the controllability and observability Gramians) are nonsingular.

Torkel Glad
Dynamic Systems 2014, Lecture 5



# Time-invariant case. Cont'd.

Easier to consider G(s) than h(t).

**Example** Does  $G(s) = e^{-\sqrt{s}}$  have a finite-dimensional realization? (heat conduction in a rod)

**Theorem** G(s) has time-invariant finite-dimensional realization  $\Leftrightarrow$  each element is a strictly proper rational function

#### AUTOMATIC CONTROL REGLERTEKNIK LINKÖPINGS UNIVERSITET

### Realization of time invariant systems

**Fact** A, B, C constant  $\Rightarrow h$  is continuously differentiable with  $h(t,\tau) = h(t-\tau,0)$ 

#### **Theorem**

- *h* is continuously differentiable
- $\bullet \ h(t,\tau) = h(t-\tau,0)$
- ullet has a finite realization

 $\Rightarrow$ 

h has a *minimal* realization with constant A, B, C.

Torkel Glad Dynamic Systems 2014, Lecture 5



## A simple time invariant realization of G(s)

$$d(s) = s^{r} + d_{r-1}s^{r-1} + \dots + d_{1}s + d_{0}$$

is the least common multiple of the denominator polynomials.

$$d(s)G(s) = N_{r-1}s^{r-1} + \dots + N_1s + N_0$$

The  $N_i$  are constant  $p \times m$  matrices.

A controllable realization (usually *not* minimal)

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0_m & I_m & \dots & 0_m \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0_m & 0_m & \dots & I_m \\ -d_0 I_m & -d_1 I_m & \dots & -d_{r-1} I_m \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0_m \\ \vdots \\ 0_m \\ I_m \end{bmatrix}$$
$$C = \begin{bmatrix} N_0 & N_1 & \dots & N_{r-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

## **Example of non-minimal realization**

$$G(s) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2+3s+s^2} & \frac{3+s}{2+3s+s^2} \\ \frac{1}{2+s} & \frac{1}{2+s} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2+3s+s^2} \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} s + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$$

gives

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -2 & 0 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Torkel Glad Dynamic Systems 2014, Lecture 5





### Markov parameters

Continuous time impulse response

$$h(t) = Ce^{At}B = CB + tCAB + \frac{t^2}{2}CA^2B + \cdots$$

Discrete time input-output relation

$$y(t) = CBu(t-1) + CABu(t-2) + CA^{2}Bu(t-3) + \cdots$$

 $h_i = CA^{j-1}B$  are called *Markov parameters*.

Realization  $\Leftrightarrow$  find A, B, C, given the Markov parameters.

### Example cont'd. Observability decomposition

Decomposing into obervable and unobservable parts:

$$A_{o} = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & -3 & -2 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_{o} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{2} & 1 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C_{0} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Minimal realization (observable part)

$$A_{min} = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & -2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $B_{min} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{2} & 1 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $C_{min} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

Torkel Glad

Dynamic Systems 2014, Lecture 5



### A useful relation

$$\begin{bmatrix} C \\ CA \\ \vdots \\ CA^{i-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B & AB & \dots & A^{j-1}B \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} h_1 & h_2 & \dots & h_j \\ h_2 & h_3 & \dots & h_{j+1} \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ h_i & h_{i+1} & \dots & h_{i+j} \end{bmatrix}}_{H_{ij}}$$

## Finite dimensional realizations

**Theorem** The impulse response has a finite dimensional realization  $\Leftrightarrow$ 

There exist finite values of i and j for which the rank of  $H_{ij}$  attains its maximal value. (This maximal value is the dimension of the minimal realization.)

**Theorem** If  $A_1$ ,  $B_1$ ,  $C_1$  and  $A_2$ ,  $B_2$ ,  $C_2$  are both minimal realizations of the same input-output relation, then there is a matrix T such that

$$A_2 = T^{-1}A_1T$$
,  $B_2 = T^{-1}B_1$ ,  $C_2 = C_1T$ 

Torkel Glad
Dynamic Systems 2014, Lecture 5

